

TAD:ELM  
F. #2017R01297

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEARCH OF

A WHITE SAMSUNG GALAXY S7  
EDGE CELLULAR TELEPHONE WITH  
SERIAL NUMBER SM-G935T AND IMEI  
NUMBER 357751075565314, SEIZED ON  
JULY 9, 2017

APPLICATION FOR SEARCH  
WARRANT

Case No. 18-57M

**AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF AN  
APPLICATION UNDER RULE 41 FOR  
WARRANT TO SEARCH AND SEIZE**

I, ANTHONY M. MELCHIORRI, being first duly sworn, hereby depose and state as follows:

**INTRODUCTION AND AGENT BACKGROUND**

1. I make this affidavit in support of an application under Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure for a search warrant authorizing the examination of an electronic device currently in law enforcement custody, more particularly described in Attachment A, and the extraction from that device of electronically stored information described in Attachment B.

2. I am a Special Agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (“ATF”), and have been for over fourteen years. During that time, I have personally participated in numerous investigations and arrests, the debriefing of cooperating witnesses and informants, and the execution of numerous search warrants, including search warrants for electronic devices. As a result of my training and experience, I am familiar with

the techniques and methods of operation used by individuals involved in criminal activity to conceal their activities from detection by law enforcement authorities.

3. This affidavit is intended to show only that there is sufficient probable cause for the requested warrant and does not set forth all of my knowledge about this matter. The information contained in this affidavit includes information that I obtained from other law enforcement agents and officers, and from law enforcement and public records databases. The statements described in this affidavit are set forth in sum, substance, and in part.

**IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY TO BE SEARCHED**

4. This affidavit is submitted in support of an application for a warrant to search A WHITE SAMSUNG GALAXY S7 EDGE CELLULAR TELEPHONE WITH SERIAL NUMBER SM-G935T AND IMEI NUMBER 357751075565314, SEIZED ON JULY 9, 2017, that is currently within the possession of the ATF within the Eastern District of New York (hereinafter the “Device”), described in Attachment A, to conduct a forensic examination of the Device for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored data particularly described in Attachment B.

**PROBABLE CAUSE**

5. Based on my training and experience and the facts as set forth in this affidavit, there is probable cause to believe that ANTHONY WIGGINS and others known and unknown have committed and are committing violations of federal criminal law, including unlawful possession of a firearm by a prohibited person, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 922, and access device fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1029(a), and conspiracy to commit access device fraud, in violation of Title

18, United States Code, Section 1029(b)(2) (the “Subject Offenses”). There is also probable cause to search the Device described in Attachment A for evidence, instrumentalities, contraband and/or fruits of these crimes further described in Attachment B.

I. THE MAY 29, 2017 SEARCH OF THE DEFENDANT’S HOME

6. On or about May 29, 2017, Denise Grant was arrested by the New York City Police Department (“NYPD”) at her home at 1423 Saint Marks Avenue, Apartment 2, in Brooklyn, New York (the “Saint Marks Apartment”) following a domestic dispute with her sister, Jayda Grant. At the time of her arrest, NYPD officers discovered a single R-P .380 auto bullet in the pocket of Denise Grant’s pants during a search incident to her arrest.

7. During a post-arrest debriefing, Denise Grant denied ownership of the bullet, and stated that she was wearing her boyfriend Anthony’s pants. By running a series of database checks, NYPD officers determined that Denise Grant’s boyfriend was ANTHONY WIGGINS.

8. On or about May 29, 2017, NYPD officers went to the Saint Marks Apartment to further investigate the origin of the bullet recovered from the pocket of Denise Grant’s pants. When the officers arrived, Jayda Grant was the only person at home. Jayda Grant consented in writing to a search of the Saint Marks Apartment. NYPD officers also obtained verbal consent to search the Saint Marks Apartment from Denise Grant and Jayda Grant’s mother, who also lived in the Saint Marks Apartment, over the telephone.

9. During the course of the search, NYPD officers discovered a shoebox located in plain view inside a bedroom. The shoebox contained eleven fraudulent credit and debit cards and drivers licenses, including two fraudulent credit cards in Denise Grant’s name and

one card in Eqwanna Crawford's name. Denise Grant and Eqwanna Crawford have close relationships with ANTHONY WIGGINS. Denise Grant is WIGGINS' long-term girlfriend, and was listed as the emergency contact on WIGGINS' United States Marshals Service processing paperwork. Eqwanna Crawford and WIGGINS have two children together. Both Denise Grant and Eqwanna Crawford were willing to sign as suretors on WIGGINS' bond in connection with his July 9, 2017 arrest.

10. Both Denise Grant and Eqwanna Crawford have maintained regular contact via email and telephone with WIGGINS since his incarceration at Rikers and at the Metropolitan Detention Center ("MDC") in connection with his July 9, 2017 arrest, described below. Since his arrest, WIGGINS has instructed Denise Grant, via the MDC's monitored email system, to update his social media accounts – including his Facebook and Instagram accounts.

11. The shoebox also contained an empty, Hi-point brand .380-caliber magazine, and a 9-millimeter bullet. In addition, the shoebox contained Kings County court documents and a New York City Department of Corrections and Community Supervision identification card, all in the name of ANTHONY WIGGINS. The NYPD officers also discovered two forged temporary license plates under the bed.

12. Based on WIGGINS' demonstrated contact with both Denise Grant and Eqwanna Crawford from May 2017 to the present via phone and email, in addition to the presence of both Denise Grant's and Eqwanna Crawford's names on the fraudulent credit cards found in the shoebox in the Saint Marks Apartment on May 29, 2017, there is probable cause to believe that the Device contains evidence of violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)(1)

and § 1029(b)(2), including text messages, social media communications and photographs between WIGGINS and Denise Grant and WIGGINS and Eqwanna Crawford.

II. THE JULY 6, 2017 BURGLARY OF BASIL PIZZA AND WINE BAR

13. Basil Pizza and Wine Bar (“Basil”) is a restaurant located at 270 Kingston Avenue, in Brooklyn, New York. On or about July 6, 2017, at approximately 1:30 a.m., three individuals wearing bandanas and hooded sweatshirts broke the restaurant’s lock and burglarized Basil. The owner of Basil reported to the NYPD that numerous Apple iPads, a combination safe, and approximately \$8,000 were stolen during the burglary.

III. THE DEFENDANT’S JULY 9, 2017 ARREST

14. At approximately 4:30 a.m. on July 9, 2017, three plainclothes NYPD police officers assigned to the 77th Precinct were driving at a slow rate of speed in an unmarked car west on Prospect Place in Brooklyn, New York, towards Nostrand Avenue, with the windows down. Prospect Place was well lit by streetlights and security lights posted on apartment buildings along the block. Officer #1 was sitting in the rear, passenger-side seat, Officer #2 was sitting in the front, passenger-side seat, and Officer #3 was driving. As the officers drove along Prospect Place, they observed an individual, later identified as ANTHONY WIGGINS, standing on the sidewalk, facing against the flow of traffic on Prospect Place.

15. Officer #1 observed the butt of a firearm sticking out of the defendant’s waistband. Officer #1 exited the vehicle and made eye contact with ANTHONY WIGGINS. Officer #1’s shield was visible, and he identified himself as a police officer.

16. Officer #1 commanded ANTHONY WIGGINS not to move. ANTHONY WIGGINS immediately and without hesitation ran directly into the apartment building located at 805 Prospect Place (the "Prospect Place Building"), and up the stairs. Officer #1 and Officer #2 pursued the defendant on foot into the Prospect Place Building and up the staircase. Officer #2 was familiar with the Prospect Place Building based on his experience in the 77th Precinct, and he knew it to be frequented by members of the Bergen Family gang, who used at least one apartment within the Prospect Place Building to further and conceal evidence of criminal activity, including credit card fraud, illegal weapons and drug distribution.

17. As ANTHONY WIGGINS approached the third floor landing at a run, with Officer #1 in close pursuit, Officer #1 observed WIGGINS attempt to pick up a black backpack (the "Backpack"), which was on the staircase near the third floor landing. WIGGINS could not maintain his grasp on the Backpack while running up the steps, and he dropped the Backpack near the third floor landing.

18. Officer #1 and Officer #2 followed ANTHONY WIGGINS up the stairs to the roof of the apartment building. Officer #1, who was using a flashlight, observed the firearm drop from ANTHONY WIGGINS' waistband onto the roof of the Prospect Place Building. ANTHONY WIGGINS then attempted to escape down a fire escape, but he then turned around and went back up the fire escape to the roof. After a brief struggle, Officer #1 and Officer #2 placed ANTHONY WIGGINS under arrest.

19. Officer #1 recovered a loaded, .357-caliber Colt revolver with defaced serial numbers (the "Firearm") from the Prospect Place Building's roof, where ANTHONY

WIGGINS had dropped it. The officers also seized and vouchered a portable, Masterlock-brand combination safe, which they found on the roof of the Prospect Place Building (the “Safe”). The back panel of the Safe had been pried off at the time it was recovered, and there was nothing inside.

20. Additionally, NYPD officers seized the Backpack from the stairwell of the Prospect Place Building. The Backpack contained five Apple iPads. The iPads were charged and unlocked at the time of the seizure, and, when activated, all showed menus for Basil, which was located approximately seven blocks from the Prospect Place Building. As described above, Basil had been burglarized approximately three days earlier, and the owner of Basil reported that multiple iPads had been stolen from the restaurant.

21. NYPD officers transported ANTHONY WIGGINS from the Prospect Place Building to the 77th Precinct. At the 77th Precinct, during NYPD officers seized the Device from ANTHONY WIGGINS and vouchered it as evidence. The Device remained in the custody of the NYPD until on or about January 9, 2018, when ATF agents took possession of the Device. Neither the NYPD nor the ATF has conducted a forensic search of the Device. On January 22, 2018,

22. NYPD officers also recovered a New York State identification card from ANTHONY WIGGINS at the time of his arrest. The identification card listed ANTHONY WIGGINS’ address as the Saint Marks Apartment, the location where the bullet and empty magazine were recovered. ANTHONY WIGGINS possessed \$500 in cash at the time of his arrest.

23. I have reviewed criminal history records indicating that before July 9, 2017, ANTHONY WIGGINS had previously been convicted of a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year. WIGGINS was convicted of robbery in the third degree, in violation of New York Penal Law § 160.05, a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one year, for which the Kings County Supreme Court sentenced the defendant to one to three years' imprisonment on March 2, 2009.

24. On August 4, 2017, a grand jury sitting in the Eastern District of New York returned a two-count indictment charging ANTHONY WIGGINS with being a felon unlawfully in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), and with possession of a firearm with an obliterated serial number, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(k). (See 17-CR-419 (NGG).)

## II. THE DEVICE

25. Based on my training and experience I believe that a review of the information stored on the Device, specifically, all calls placed from the Device and all calls received by the Device, as well as all text messages sent from the Device and all text messages received by the Device, including any pre-populated information concerning the identity of the persons with whom WIGGINS was communicating,<sup>1</sup> but excluding the content of any such

---

<sup>1</sup> For example, if WIGGINS saved a specified number in his contacts list as "Mom," the call log show a voice call from "Mom," in addition to containing the phone number. That is because during when extracting the contents of the Device, the software that parses the phone automatically associates particular telephone numbers with contact information stored in the Device.



calls or text messages (collectively, “call and text logs”), will identify the individuals with whom WIGGINS was communicating prior to the discovery of the fraudulent credit cards at the Saint Marks Apartment on May 29, 2017, and their means and methods of communication. Additionally, based on my training and experience, I believe that a review of the call and text logs on the Device will reveal with whom WIGGINS communicated before and after the burglary of Basil on July 6, 2017. Furthermore, the call and text logs on the Device will reveal with whom WIGGINS communicated prior to his arrest on July 9, 2017 for illegally possessing a firearm and ammunition.

26. During the execution of the warrant and the forensic examination of the Device, the ATF will employ computer software that downloads the contents of the entire Device (the “Download”). The ATF will preserve the Download for authentication purposes. However, the ATF will produce a forensic report that contains only the call and text logs from the Download, and the ATF will not make any use of any other portion of the Download absent further order of the court.

27. The Device is currently in the lawful possession of the ATF. As described above, the NYPD seized the Device from ANTHONY WIGGINS when he was arrested on July 9, 2017. The Device was subsequently turned over by the NYPD to the custody of the ATF in January 2018. The Device is currently located in the Eastern District of New York. Based on my training and experience, I know that the Device has been stored in a manner in which its contents are, to the extent material to this investigation, in substantially the same state as they were when the Device first came into the possession of the NYPD. On January 22, 2018, the ATF and the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of New

York requested WIGGINS' consent to search the Device, via WIGGINS' counsel. Counsel refused to consent to a search of the Device.

### **TECHNICAL TERMS**

28. Based on my training and experience, I use the following technical terms to convey the following meanings:

- a. Wireless telephone: A wireless telephone (or mobile telephone, or cellular telephone) is a handheld wireless device used for voice and data communication through radio signals. These telephones send signals through networks of transmitter/receivers, enabling communication with other wireless telephones or traditional "land line" telephones.
- b. A wireless telephone usually contains a "call log," which records the telephone number, date, and time of calls made to and from the phone. If the telephone number that is communicating with the wireless telephone is stored as a "contact" in the wireless telephone's "address book," as described below, the call log will access that information, and, where the available, provide related "contact" information. Based on my training and experience, I know that it may be necessary to perform a forensic extraction of all data saved on the Device in order to generate a report of the Device's call log, including related "contact" information. To the extent that this warrant seeks content, such content is limited to the "contact" information that is automatically populated in the Device's call log.

- c. In addition to enabling voice communications, wireless telephones offer a broad range of capabilities. These capabilities include: storing names and phone numbers as specified “contacts” in an electronic “address book;” sending, receiving, and storing text messages and e-mail; taking, sending, receiving, and storing still photographs and moving video; storing and playing back audio files; storing dates, appointments, and other information on personal calendars; and accessing and downloading information from the Internet. Wireless telephones may also include global positioning system (“GPS”) technology for determining the location of the device.
- d. Digital camera: A digital camera is a camera that records pictures as digital picture files, rather than by using photographic film. Digital cameras use a variety of fixed and removable storage media to store their recorded images. Images can usually be retrieved by connecting the camera to a computer or by connecting the removable storage medium to a separate reader. Removable storage media include various types of flash memory cards or miniature hard drives. Most digital cameras also include a screen for viewing the stored images. This storage media can contain any digital data, including data unrelated to photographs or videos.
- e. Portable media player: A portable media player (or “MP3 Player” or iPod) is a handheld digital storage device designed primarily to store and play audio, video, or photographic files. However, a portable media player can also store other digital data. Some portable media players can use removable storage

media. Removable storage media include various types of flash memory cards or miniature hard drives. This removable storage media can also store any digital data. Depending on the model, a portable media player may have the ability to store very large amounts of electronic data and may offer additional features such as a calendar, contact list, clock, or games.

- f. GPS: A GPS navigation device uses the Global Positioning System to display its current location. It often contains records the locations where it has been. Some GPS navigation devices can give a user driving or walking directions to another location. These devices can contain records of the addresses or locations involved in such navigation. The Global Positioning System (generally abbreviated “GPS”) consists of 24 NAVSTAR satellites orbiting the Earth. Each satellite contains an extremely accurate clock. Each satellite repeatedly transmits by radio a mathematical representation of the current time, combined with a special sequence of numbers. These signals are sent by radio, using specifications that are publicly available. A GPS antenna on Earth can receive those signals. When a GPS antenna receives signals from at least four satellites, a computer connected to that antenna can mathematically calculate the antenna’s latitude, longitude, and sometimes altitude with a high level of precision.
- g. PDA: A personal digital assistant, or PDA, is a handheld electronic device used for storing data (such as names, addresses, appointments or notes) and utilizing computer programs. Some PDAs also function as wireless

communication devices and are used to access the Internet and send and receive e-mail. PDAs usually include a memory card or other removable storage media for storing data and a keyboard and/or touch screen for entering data. Removable storage media include various types of flash memory cards or miniature hard drives. This removable storage media can store any digital data. Most PDAs run computer software, giving them many of the same capabilities as personal computers. For example, PDA users can work with word-processing documents, spreadsheets, and presentations. PDAs may also include global positioning system (“GPS”) technology for determining the location of the device.

- h. Internet: The Internet is a global network of computers and other electronic devices that communicate with each other. Due to the structure of the Internet, connections between devices on the Internet often cross state and international borders, even when the devices communicating with each other are in the same state.

29. Based on my training, experience, and research, I know that the Device has capabilities that allow it to serve as a web browser, email client, Internet messaging device, telephone, digital camera, portable media player, GPS navigation device, and PDA. In my training and experience, examining data stored on devices of this type can uncover, among other things, evidence that reveals or suggests who possessed or used the device.

**ELECTRONIC STORAGE AND FORENSIC ANALYSIS**

30. Based on my knowledge, training and experience, I know that those who are engaged in conspiracies often communicate with co-conspirators to plan and execute crimes by means of wireless telephone (including by means of text messages, electronic mail and social media messages), and record the contact information of criminal associates in the “contacts” section of such telephones. Those who commit such offenses may retain evidence of their participation in such offenses on wireless telephones through call records, text messages, WhatsApp messages, Facebook messages, Instagram messages, emails or photos. That data (including communications and photographs) may also constitute evidence of their association with criminal organizations, conspiracies and/or enterprise. Moreover, information stored on such telephone, including photographs, emails and text messages, can be used to help identify the users of such telephones.

31. Based on my knowledge, training, and experience, I know that electronic devices can store information for long periods of time. Similarly, things that have been viewed via the Internet are typically stored for some period of time on the device. This information can sometimes be recovered with forensics tools.

32. There is probable cause to believe that things that were once stored on the Device may still be stored there, for at least the following reasons:

- a. Based on my knowledge, training, and experience, I know that computer files or remnants of such files can be recovered months or even years after they have been downloaded onto a storage medium, deleted, or viewed via the Internet. Electronic files downloaded to a storage medium can be stored for

years at little or no cost. Even when files have been deleted, they can be recovered months or years later using forensic tools. This is so because when a person “deletes” a file on a computer, the data contained in the file does not actually disappear; rather, that data remains on the storage medium until it is overwritten by new data.

- b. Therefore, deleted files, or remnants of deleted files, may reside in free space or slack space—that is, in space on the storage medium that is not currently being used by an active file—for long periods of time before they are overwritten. In addition, a computer’s operating system may also keep a record of deleted data in a “swap” or “recovery” file.
- c. Wholly apart from user-generated files, computer storage media—in particular, computers’ internal hard drives—contain electronic evidence of how a computer has been used, what it has been used for, and who has used it. To give a few examples, this forensic evidence can take the form of operating system configurations, artifacts from operating system or application operation, file system data structures, and virtual memory “swap” or paging files. Computer users typically do not erase or delete this evidence, because special software is typically required for that task. However, it is technically possible to delete this information.
- d. Similarly, files that have been viewed via the Internet are sometimes automatically downloaded into a temporary Internet directory or “cache.”

33. *Forensic evidence.* As further described in Attachment B, this application seeks permission to locate not only electronically stored information that might serve as direct evidence of the crimes described on the warrant, but also forensic evidence that establishes how the Device was used, the purpose of its use, who used it, and when. There is probable cause to believe that this forensic electronic evidence might be on the Device because:

- a. Data on the storage medium can provide evidence of a file that was once on the storage medium but has since been deleted or edited, or of a deleted portion of a file (such as a paragraph that has been deleted from a word processing file, or messages that have been sent or received by the user of a cellular telephone but have been subsequently deleted). Virtual memory paging systems can leave traces of information on the storage medium that show what tasks and processes were recently active. Web browsers, e-mail programs, and chat programs store configuration information on the storage medium that can reveal information such as online nicknames and passwords. Operating systems can record additional information, such as the attachment of peripherals, the attachment of USB flash storage devices or other external storage media, and the times the computer was in use. Computer file systems can record information about the dates files were created and the sequence in which they were created.



- b. Forensic evidence on a device can also indicate who has used or controlled the device. This “user attribution” evidence is analogous to the search for “indicia of occupancy” while executing a search warrant at a residence.
- c. A person with appropriate familiarity with how an electronic device works may, after examining this forensic evidence in its proper context, be able to draw conclusions about how electronic devices were used, the purpose of their use, who used them, and when.
- d. The process of identifying the exact electronically stored information on a storage medium that are necessary to draw an accurate conclusion is a dynamic process. Electronic evidence is not always data that can be merely reviewed by a review team and passed along to investigators. Whether data stored on a computer is evidence may depend on other information stored on the computer and the application of knowledge about how a computer behaves. Therefore, contextual information necessary to understand other evidence also falls within the scope of the warrant.
- e. Further, in finding evidence of how a device was used, the purpose of its use, who used it, and when, sometimes it is necessary to establish that a particular thing is not present on a storage medium.
- f. I know that when an individual uses an electronic device to communicate with coconspirators regarding an agreement to unlawfully transfer firearms or to distribute controlled substances, including marijuana and cocaine, the individual’s electronic device will generally serve both as an instrumentality

for committing the crime, and also as a storage medium for evidence of the crime. The electronic device is an instrumentality of the crime because it is used as a means of committing the criminal offense. The electronic device is also likely to be a storage medium for evidence of crime. From my training and experience, I believe that an electronic device used to commit a crime of this type may contain: data that is evidence of how the electronic device was used; data that was sent or received; and other records that indicate the nature of the offense.

34. *Nature of examination.* Based on the foregoing, and consistent with Rule 41(e)(2)(B), the warrant I am applying for would permit the examination of the Device consistent with the warrant. The examination may require authorities to employ techniques, including but not limited to computer-assisted scans of the entire medium, that might expose many parts of the device to human inspection in order to determine whether it is evidence described by the warrant.

**CONCLUSION**

35. I submit that this affidavit supports probable cause for a search warrant authorizing law enforcement to search the Device described in Attachment A to conduct a forensic examination for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment B.

Respectfully submitted,

S/ Anthony M. Melchiorri

---

ANTHONY M. MELCHIORRI  
Special Agent  
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and  
Explosives

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
on January 23, 2018:

S/ Viktor V. Pohorelaky

---

THE HONORABLE VIKTOR V. POHORELSKY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**ATTACHMENT A**

**Description of the Property to Be Searched**

A WHITE SAMSUNG GALAXY S7 EDGE CELLULAR TELEPHONE WITH SERIAL NUMBER SM-G935T AND IMEI NUMBER 357751075565314, SEIZED ON JULY 9, 2017, THAT IS CURRENTLY LOCATED IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (hereinafter the "DEVICE")

This warrant authorizes the forensic examination of the DEVICE for the purpose of identifying the electronically stored information described in Attachment B.

**ATTACHMENT B**

**Description of the Things to Be Seized**

1. A list of all calls placed from the Device and all calls received by the Device, as well as all text messages sent from the Device and all text messages received by the Device, including any pre-populated information concerning the identity of the persons with whom Device was communicating,<sup>2</sup> but excluding the content of any such calls or text messages (collectively, “call and text logs”), for the period May 1, 2017 to July 10, 2017.

---

<sup>2</sup> For example, if the user of the Device saved a specified number in his contacts list as “Mom,” the call log show a voice call from “Mom,” in addition to containing the phone number. That is because during when extracting the contents of the Device, the software that parses the phone automatically associates particular telephone numbers with contact information stored in the Device.